Gary A Rendsburg (Cornell University)

וֹתְלְפִיוֹת (SONG 4:4)

ABSTRACT

The hapax legomenon אָלָּפְיּוֹח in Song 4:4 is nowadays typically translated as "courses," based on a reputed root לפי/לפי "arrange in courses" in Aramaic and/or Arabic. Closer inspection reveals that this root does not bear this meaning in these languages, and that in any case such a meaning does not fit the context. A new etymology is proposed. The noun is a plural taqtîl formation from the root אָלָף means "heights," and the whole phrase is to be translated "your neck is like the tower of David, built to the heights." This rare Hebrew noun was used in Song 4:4 to create an alliteration with the surrounding words אָלֶף "thousand" and "hung."

After more than a century and a half of modern lexicographical research in ancient Hebrew, the meanings of selected individual words continue to elude scholars. Among the more elusive has been the hapax legomenon in Song 4:4.1

M H Pope (1977:465-68). The only important works that I would add to Pope's survey are S Krauss (1930-31:251-56); and E Ben Yehuda (1912-48:16.7779-80).

The word is listed, though not treated, in H R Cohen (1978:125); and in F E Greenspahn (1984:186). I do not intend this remark as a criticism. The former work, as the title indicates, is devoted to light shed by Ugaritic and Akkadian on unique words in the Bible; and the latter work, as the subtitle indicates, is devoted mainly to verbs.

First suggested by A M Honeyman (1949:50-52); then seconded by B S J Isserlin (1958:59-61); and accepted in standard commentaries (such as Pope 1958:465-68; and M V Fox 1985:130-31) and dictionaries (KB, 1030; HALAT, 1603). Some standard

14 G A RENDSBURG

of David, built in/for/by חֵלְפִּיוֹת," the "courses" are assumed to refer to a layered necklace which bedecks the female lover's neck.

There are numerous difficulties with this interpretation. The most efficient way to present them and to discuss them is to cite fully the words of A M Honeyman, upon whom all later scholars rely for their understanding of מַלְּפִינִּים as "courses." Honeyman (1949:51) wrote: "In form מַלְּפִינִּים is a plural of a feminine taqtīlat type from the root lp' 'to arrange in courses'." In a footnote to this sentence he supported his claim with the following: "Cf. Aram. במַלִּלְבִּילִים 'anreihen' (Levy), e.g. Targ. Jer. Lev. vi 5 for מְלֵבִילִּ 'schichten' (Dalman); [Arabic] lafa'a 'peel, skin' and VIII (Steingass)" (Honeyman 1949:51 n.8)."

The first difficulty concerns the identification of the root: is it the lamed-aleph (III') root אבל or the lamed-yod (IIIy) root בל אבל? As Honeyman noted, the root אבל exists in Arabic; it means not only "peel, skin, strip" (so Honeyman), but also "drive away, reject, diminish," and "find, meet, encounter, reach," in its various conjugations. None of these definitions fits the context of תוֹלְפּיוֹת in Song 4:4. Furthermore, the editors of the most recent Hebrew dictionary have misconstrued matters in stating that Arabic lafa'a means "in Reihen ordnen" (HALAT, 1603). As far as I am able to determine, this is simply incorrect.

translations, however, still retain an older interpretation; cf. NAB "battlements" and NJV "to hold weapons."

E W Lane (1863-93: 7.2665); F J Steingass (1884:922-23); and M Ullmann (1970-91:2/2,959-60, 1028-40).

The few taqtil forms from strong roots, e.g., חַלְמִיר "scholar" (1 Chr 25:8), and קֹרִיך "robe" (Esth 8:15), apparently are to be explained as Aramaisms. Thus P Joüon and T Muraoka [1991: 1.261 (§88Lt)]. More examples are to be found in Mishnaic Hebrew; see M H Segal (1927:118).

Honeyman also referred to Aramaic אָבּל or בּל, but here too his presentation is riddled with problems. He was correct in listing both roots because the word is attested only in Middle Aramaic, in which III' and IIIy roots have merged, and thus it is impossible to determine whether the root is indeed בּל or rather אָבּל. If the root is אָבּל, then the discussion in the preceding paragraph is germane here as well. If the root is בּל this objection disappears. However, still one must arrive at a suitable definition for Aramaic בֹל.

Honeyman incorrectly cited b. Yoma as another attestation of the root לפל, because he misunderstood the comment of J Levy in his dictionary of the Targumim. Levy translated this lexeme as "anreihen" based on the extensive discussion of Lev 6:5 in b. Yoma 33a ("nach Joma 33a"),9 in which the arrangement of wood is discussed, with recourse only to וְּבֶּעֶר עָבֶיהְ הָעֹלֶה and not to וְּבֶּעֶר עָבֶיהְ הָעֹלֶה pruthermore, Levy assumed (perhaps correctly, but not necessarily so) that לפֹי is a by-form of the better attested root לפֹי "connect, arrange" (an approach also taken by S Krauss (1930-31:252)). As to Honeyman's citation of G H Dalman (1938:219), one can note only that the latter did indeed use "schichten" in his entry, a notion probably based on Levy. Honeyman did not cite M Jastrow, but for the sake of

⁶ I am grateful to Stephen A Kaufman for his assistance on the Aramaic end of this paper.

⁷ E H Clarke (1984:124; see also p. 331).

Translation of *NJV*; see also J Milgrom (1991:379, 387). J Levy (1867:1.413). See also J Levy (1879:2.517).

16 G A RENDSBURG

completeness I note here that he too translated לפי or לפי as "join, arrange." arrange."

After this dissection of Honeyman's treatment, with a concommitant presentation of the relevant Arabic and Aramaic data, how are we left? The root of אול cannot be אבל לוב ליות במחסל לפיות במחסל לפיות this root do not fit the context of Song 4:4, and in any case the morphology of אול points to its derivation from a root במי הוא לפיים לפיים

But even if we grant the assumptions a) that the root of the exceedingly rare Middle Aramaic lexeme is indeed '57, b) that this root means "arrange," and c) that the step from "arrange (wood)" to "arrange (stone) in courses" is possible, there are still further difficulties. For while it is true that towers are built by the placement of one course of stones upon another, courses of stones are distinctive of other types of building as well, e.g., city walls, large public buildings such as palaces and temples, etc. In other words, courses of stones are not unique to towers and towers do not have courses of stones as their most distinctive feature. On the contrary, the most distinctive characteristic of a tower is its height. Accordingly, one would expect that our word not refers not to the manner of construction but to the height of the tower. This is especially the case if we assume, as most readers do, that a tall neck is envisioned by our poet when comparing the female lover's neck to the tower of David (see Song 7:5 for another comparison of the neck to a tower).

A further problem concerns the extension of the word אַלְפּיּה, even if it means "courses," to refer to a layered necklace. The imagery of jewelry clearly is present in the second half of the verse, שֵׁלְיוֹ עַלְיוֹ כֹל "a thousand shields hanging upon it, all the weapons of the heroes," in which the metal objects "shields" and "weapons" represent jewelry made of metal (and other materials). But to introduce this imagery into the first half of the verse is inappropriate. There the imagery intended is solely that of the high neck of the female lover (again, compare Song 7:5).

In light of the manifold difficulties discussed above, it is adviseable to discard the view that אוֹם means "courses" and to approach the problem afresh. Based on the above comments, the best solution to an explication of אוֹם is a root 'בּבּ' with a meaning related to height. Such a root appears in

¹⁰ M Jastrow (1903:2.715; see also p. 700 under לוף).

Note that Ibn Ezra (see his comment to Song 4:4) understood that אַלְבְּיוֹח must relate in some way to a "great height," though along with others in the medieval period he derived the word from the root אַל "teach." The phrase "great height" does not occur in the printed

the Modern South Arabian languages, where the verb lfy means "be able to climb easily (as, for example, a ladder or a mountain)" in Jibbali and Mehri. 12 On the basis of this cognate, one should posit in ancient Hebrew the root לפי meaning "climb, ascend" or the like, and from it a feminine singular noun *מפווים meaning "height, steps, staircase, ladder, etc." In its single attestation in the Bible, 13 the noun מול של would mean "heights," let us say. This, after all, is the distinctive feature of a tower, and this meaning fits the context well. Song 4:4a then would be translated "Your neck is like the tower of David, built to the heights." 14

If my interpretation is correct, one legitimately may ask why did the poet elect to use the rare word חַלְפִינוֹ for "heights" when presumably other words were available, for example, lexemes derived from the roots סלל, רום, גבה, or other possibilities. The answer lies in the alliteration created between חַלְפִינוֹת and the words "לָבְּינוֹת "thousand" and חָלוֹי "hung," both of which follow in quick succession (perhaps also with שֵׁלְטֵי "the weapons of" later in the verse¹⁵).

Finally, if it be objected that a cognate from Modern South Arabian should not be utilized for the elucidation of a Biblical Hebrew word, the following point is important to make. The very close affinity of all the Semitic languages, "which are not less similar to each other than languages belonging to one branch of Indo-European" (Blau 1978:22), makes it possible to locate cognates in two relatively distant members of the language family. Examples of cognates shared only by modern South Semitic languages and by ancient Northwest Semitic languages are the following: Ugaritic npr "bird" and Tigrinya nfr "fly" (Ullendorff 1951:273-74); Ugaritic gr "groan" and Soqotri ry "sulk" (Leslau 1968:361); and Hebrew DTD "rain" and Mehri/Harsusi/Jibbali rhm "rain" (Rendsburg 1987:623-28). The above analysis of DDDD adds another example to this growing list of cognates shared by modern South Semitic and ancient Northwest Semitic.

editions of Ibn Ezra's commentary in the standard versions of *Miqra'ot Gedolot*, but it must occur in the manuscripts, at least according to the information contained in T Muraoka and Z Shavitsky (1989:109-10), citing H J Mathews (1874) (unfortunately unavailable to me).

¹² T M Johnstone (1981:160) and (1987:251-52).

The form מְלְפְנוֹ in Job 35:11 derives from the root אלן "teach" with the aleph elided, as clearly evidenced by its parallel position with חברו "be wise." Note that the Targum understood it this way (the reading is מאלף). See also Ibn Ezra's comment to Song 4:4 (cf. above note 11) and many moderns, e.g., R Gordis (1978:402).

I recognize that the preposition remains a bit problematic, but no more so than for the translation "courses" or for other attempts to deal with the word חַלְּפִיּוֹת.

On the possibility of wordplay involving words with *tet* and words with *taw*, see W H Propp (1990:404-8).

These are but sampling. For others see G A Rendsburg (1987:623-28), and the bibliography cited there.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ben Yehuda, E 1912-48. *Millon ha-Lashon ha-Ivrit* (16 vols.). New York: Thomas Yoseloff.
- Blau, J 1978. Hebrew and North West Semitic: Reflections on the classification of the Semitic Languages. *HAR* 2, 21-44.
- Clarke, E H 1984. Targum Pseudo-Jonathan of the Pentateuch: Text and Concordance. Hoboken, NJ: KTAV.
- Cohen, H R 1978. Biblical Hapax Legomena in the light of Akkadian and Ugaritic (SBLDS 37). Missoula, MT: Scholars Press.
- Dalman, G H 1938. Aramäisches-neuhebräisches Handwörterbuch zu Targum, Talmud und Midrasch. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Fox, M V 1958. The Song of Songs and the ancient Egyptian love songs. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Gordis, R 1978. The book of Job. New York: Jewish Theological Seminary.
- Greenspahn, F E 1984. Hapax Legomena in biblical Hebrew: A study of the phenomenon and its treatment since antiquity with special reference to verbal forms (SBLDS 74). Chico, CA: Scholars Press.
- Honeyman, A M 1949. Two contributions to Canaanite Toponymy. *JTS* 50, 50-52.
- Isserlin, B S J 1958. Song of Songs IV, 4: An archaeological note. *PEQ* 90, 59-61.
- Jastrow, M 1903. A dictionary of the Targumim, the Talmud Babli and Yerushalmi, and the midrashic literature (2 vols.). London: Luzac.
- Johnstone, T M 1981. Jibbāli Lexicon. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Johnstone, T M 1987. *Mehri Lexicon*. London: School of Oriental and African Studies.
- Joüon, P and Muraoka, T 1991. A grammar of Biblical Hebrew (2 vols.). Rome: Pontificio Instituto Biblico.
- Krauss, S 1930-31. *Talpiyyôt. Leshonenu* 3, 251-56.
- Lane, E W 1863-93. An Arabic-English Lexicon (8 vols.). London: Williams and Norgate.
- Leslau, W 1968. Observations on Semitic cognates in Ugaritic. *Orientalia* 37, 347-66.
- Levy, J 1867. Chaldäisches Wörterbuch über die Targumim (2 vols). Leipzig: F A Brockhaus.
- Levy, J 1879. Neuhebräisches und Chaldäisches Wörterbuch über die Talmudim und Midrashim (4 vols). Leipzig: F.A. Brockhaus.

- Mathews, H J 1874. Abraham Ibn Ezra's commentary on the Canticles, after the first recension: Edited from two mss, with a translation. London: Trübner.
- Milgrom, J 1991. Leviticus 1-16 (AB 3). New York: Doubleday.
- Muraoka, T and Shavitsky, Z 1989. Abraham Ibn Ezra's biblical hebrew Lexicon. The Five Megilloth: 2, Abr-Nahrain 26, 80-111.
- Pope, M H 1977. Song of Songs (AB 7C). Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
- Propp, W H 1990. The meaning of Tāpel in Ezekiel. ZAW 102, 404-8.
- Rendsburg, G A 1983. Hebrew RHM = 'Rain'. VT 33, 357-62.
- Rendsburg, G A 1987. Modern South Arabian as a source for Ugaritic etymologies. *JAOS* 107, 623-28.
- Rendsburg, G A 1990. Diglossia in ancient Hebrew (AOS 72). New Haven: American Oriental Society.
- Segal, M H 1927. A grammar of Mishnaic Hebrew. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Steingass, F J 1884. The student's Arabic-English Dictionary. London: W H Allen.
- Ullendorff, E 1951. Ugaritic marginalia. Orientalia 20, 270-74.
- Ullmann, M 1970-91. Wörterbuch der klassischen arabischen Sprache (2 vols.). Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.