

ENCYCLOPEDIA OF HEBREW LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS

Volume 1
A–F

General Editor
Geoffrey Khan

Associate Editors
Shmuel Bolokzy
Steven E. Fassberg
Gary A. Rendsburg
Aaron D. Rubin
Ora R. Schwarzwald
Tamar Zewi



BRILL

LEIDEN • BOSTON
2013

© 2013 Koninklijke Brill NV ISBN 978-90-04-17642-3

Table of Contents

VOLUME ONE

| | |
|----------------------------|------|
| Introduction | vii |
| List of Contributors | ix |
| Transcription Tables | xiii |
| Articles A-F | I |

VOLUME TWO

| | |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Transcription Tables | vii |
| Articles G-O | I |

VOLUME THREE

| | |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Transcription Tables | vii |
| Articles P-Z | I |

VOLUME FOUR

| | |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Transcription Tables | vii |
| Index | I |

- version of the seven sages. Philadelphia: The Jewish Publication Society of America.
- Harari, Yuval. 1997. *Harba deMoshe: A new edition and study* (in Hebrew). Jerusalem: Academon.
- Leviant, Curt. 1969. *King Artus: A Hebrew Arthurian romance of 1279*. New York: Ktav.
- Rebiger, Bill, Peter Schäfer, et al. 2009. *Sefer harazim I und II = Das Buch der Geheimnisse I und II*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Shapira, Anat (ed.). 2005. *Midrash 'aseret ha-dibrot (A Midrash on the Ten Commandments): Text, sources and interpretation* (in Hebrew). Jerusalem: Bialik Institute.
- Yassif, Eli. 1984. *Tales of Ben Sira in the Middle Ages*. Jerusalem: Magnes.
- transmission in the Middle Ages in the Mediterranean lands" (in Hebrew). *Pe'amim* 23:9–21.
- Naveh, Joseph and Shaul Shaked. 1993. *Magic spells and formulae: Aramaic incantations of late antiquity*. Jerusalem: Magnes.
- Neuman, Dov. 1954. *Motif-index of Talmudic midrashic literature*. Ann Arbor, Michigan: Indiana University.
- Noy, Dov. 1961. "The first thousand folktales in the Israel Folktale Archive". *Fabula* 4:99–110.
- Ronen, Avraham. 1990. "Hebrew script in European art of the 15th century (in Hebrew)". *Proceedings of the Tenth World Congress of Jewish Studies* 1989, 2:1–8.
- Rosen, Ilana. 2007. "If you wish, it isn't just a personal narrative: Oral history forms past and present" (in Hebrew). *Studies in Jewish folklore in a changing world*, ed. by Eli Yassif, 13–28. Tel-Aviv: Tel-Aviv University.
- Rotman, David. 2007. "Rabbi Yosef Haim's *Niflaim ma'asekha*: The collection and its literary and historical contexts" (in Hebrew). *Pe'amim* 109:59–94.
- Sabar, Shalom. 2001. "The uniqueness of Hebrew script in the development of Jewish art". *Continuity and change: 92 years of Judaica at Bezalel* (Exhibition Catalog), ed. by Muli Ben Sasson. Jerusalem: Bezalel Academy of Arts and Design.
- Salamon, Hagar. 2005. "'Haam' in the turbulent discursive sphere of Israeli bumper stickers". *Hebrew Studies* 46:197–234.
- Stein, Dina. 2004. *Maxims, magic, myth: A folkloristic perspective of Pirkei de Rabbi Eliezer* (in Hebrew). Jerusalem: Magnes.
- Trachtenberg, Joshua. 1939. *Jewish magic and superstition: A study in folk religion*. New York: The Jewish Publication Society of America.
- Weiss, Haim. 2006. "The role of dreams in rabbinic literature: Cultural aspects" (in Hebrew). PhD dissertation, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.
- Weiss, Tzahi. 2008. "'Letters by which heaven and earth were created': A conceptual examination of alphabetical letters as independent units" (in Hebrew). PhD dissertation, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.
- Yassif, Eli. 1997. "The Hebrew narrative anthology in the Middle Ages". *Prooftexts* 17: 153–175.
- . 1999. *The Hebrew folktale: History, genre, meaning*. Bloomington, Indiana: Indiana University Press.
- . 2004. *The Hebrew collection of tales in the Middle Ages* (in Hebrew). Tel-Aviv: Hakibbutz Hameuchad.
- Zakovitch, Yair. 1981. "From oral to written tale in the Bible" (in Hebrew). *Jerusalem Studies in Jewish Folklore* 1:9–43.

DAVID ROTMAN
(Tel-Aviv University)

Foreigner Speech: Biblical Hebrew

Foreigner speech appears in the Bible in two main contexts. First, the ancient Israelite authors employed 'style-switching' to reflect

the speech of foreigners, in the following two settings: (a) when the scene shifts to a foreign land; and (b) when a foreigner is present in the land of Israel. An example of the former is Gen. 30–31, with the narrative set in the land of Aram; and an example of the latter is Num. 22–24, with Balaam the Aramean prophet in the land of Canaan (or to be more accurate, in the land of Moab, on the eastern edge of greater Canaan). In these and other cases, the Biblical Hebrew text is tinged with a variety of Aramaic-like features (→ Style-Switching).

Secondly, the prophets of ancient Israel employed ‘addressee-switching’ in their oracles to the foreign nations. These speeches, (ostensibly) directed at such entities as Phoenicia, Aram, Egypt, Assyria, and Babylonia, include linguistic elements derived from (or intended to reflect) the language of the addressed peoples (→ Addressee-Switching).

True immigrant speech (or better, the literary representation thereof) may be found perhaps in only one place: the speech of the Hivites of Gibeon to Joshua and the Israelites in Josh. 9. These people claim to have come from a distant land (cf. Josh. 11.3 and Judg. 3.3, which situate the Hivites in the territory from Mt. Hermon northward to Lebo-Hamath); and several linguistic features of their speech appear to represent their foreign status.

The first is the syntagma of the demonstrative pronoun placed before the noun, a feature known from Aramaic and Phoenician (that is to say, in languages spoken in the homeland of these Hivites), witnessed three times in Josh. 9.12–13: לַחֲמֵנוּ | זֶה זֶה *zē laḥmēnū* ‘this our bread’; וְאֵלֶּה נִסְּדוֹת הַיַּיִן *wə-’elle nōḏōṭ hay-yayin* ‘and these bottle-skins of wine’; וְאֵלֶּה שְׁלֵמוֹתֵינוּ *wə-’elle šalmōṭēnū u-n’ālēnū* ‘and these our clothes and our shoes’ (Rendsburg 2000). The second item is וַנַּעֲשֶׂה *wan-na’āšē* ‘and we did’ (Josh. 9.24), which is wholly irregular and unique in the Bible: (a) the expected form is the apocopated וַנַּעֲשֵׂה *wan-na’āš* (Jer. 35.10); and (b) while long *wayyiqṭol* forms of ל"י (final *yod*) verbs occur, the final vowel is always /*ē*/ *segol*, not /*ē*/ *šere* as here (cf. GKC §75hh). One suspects, accordingly, that this form signifies another attempt by the author to portray the immigrant speech of the Hivites, even if in this instance we lack supporting cognate evidence.

REFERENCES

- GKC = Emil Kautzsch (ed.). 1910. *Gesenius' Hebrew grammar*. Trans. by Arthur E. Cowley. Oxford: Clarendon.
 Rendsburg, Gary A. 1996. “Linguistic variation and the ‘foreign’ factor in the Hebrew Bible”. *Israel Oriental Studies* 15:177–190.
 —. 2000. “Irregular use of the demonstrative pronoun in the Bible: Additional evidence for Northern Hebrew during the biblical period”. *Snaton* 12:83–88.

GARY A. RENDBURG
(Rutgers University)

Forgeries of Hebrew Texts

The forging of texts is something that is attested for numerous ancient languages, not only Hebrew, but other Northwest Semitic languages as well (e.g., Phoenician, Aramaic). Among the most celebrated Phoenician forgeries is one referred to as the ‘Paraiba Inscription’. During the late 19th century, an inscribed stone was reported to have been found in Brazil, in the region of the Paraiba River, at a site known as Pouso Alto (‘high landing’). The inscription was initially assumed to be a putative relic of an assumed ancient Phoenician site (the precise location of this putative Phoenician site in Brazil is still not known). The stone itself has never been seen by an academic, nor are there any photographs of it that are known to be in existence. However, alleged handcopies of the stone soon came to the attention of the academic community and trained epigraphers rapidly and definitively repudiated it as a modern forgery (for discussion see Cross 1968).

During the third quarter of the 20th century Aramaic inscriptions surfaced on the antiquities market and were purported to have come from Egypt. Among these was an Aramaic inscription on a stele which also had the figures of an Egyptian deity and an Egyptian priest, as well as two short Egyptian inscriptions. Based on various anomalies in the Aramaic inscription Naveh (1968) cogently argued that the Aramaic inscription is a modern forgery which was added onto an actual ancient Egyptian stele.

In the case of Hebrew, forgers typically attempt to fashion Hebrew texts that appear to stem from the First Temple Period, but there have also been attempts to forge texts which